ISSN: 3071-1851 Volume 01, Issue 09, October, 2026

Scienta Press Journals

American Entrepreneur Journal of Business Startups and Leadership

The Evolution Of Theoretical Approaches To Real Estate Market Value Valuation And Contemporary Trends

Fayzieva Gulnoza Abdurumonovna
Tashkent University of Architecture and Civil Engineering,
Associate Professor, Department of "Economics and Real Estate"

Abstract

This article systematizes the evolution of theoretical approaches to the valuation of real estate market value and identifies the principal methodological trends shaping contemporary appraisal practice. The study traces the movement from classical cost, income and sales-comparison logic toward hedonic pricing, spatial econometrics, automated valuation models (AVMs), machine learning, explainable artificial intelligence and hybrid human–algorithmic valuation systems. The methodological basis combines comparative analysis, historical-logical analysis, synthesis of international valuation concepts and a structured review of recent academic literature. The results show that the development of valuation theory is not characterized by the replacement of traditional approaches, but by their progressive integration with richer datasets, spatial information and computational techniques. Traditional models retain advantages in transparency and professional defensibility, whereas machine-learning models can capture nonlinearities and complex interactions with higher predictive accuracy in many empirical settings. The article proposes an integrated conceptual framework in which market value is interpreted as the outcome of property-specific, locational, market, institutional and temporal factors, while the choice of valuation technique is determined by data quality, market activity, purpose of valuation and the required level of explainability. The framework may be used as a theoretical foundation for further improvement of real estate valuation methodology in emerging property markets.

ISSN: 3071-1851 Volume 01, Issue 09, October, 2026

Keywords: Real estate, market value, property valuation, hedonic pricing, automated valuation model, machine learning, spatial factors, valuation standards, artificial intelligence

This work is Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

INTRODUCTION

The reliability of real estate valuation has direct significance for transactions, mortgage lending, taxation, investment analysis, financial reporting, insurance and public asset management. Unlike standardized financial instruments, real estate is heterogeneous, spatially fixed and strongly affected by neighborhood conditions, legal restrictions, market liquidity and expectations. Consequently, the observed price of a property is formed by a combination of physical characteristics, location, accessibility, environmental quality, institutional conditions and the state of the market at a particular point in time.

Theoretical approaches to valuation have therefore evolved together with economic theory, professional standards and data technologies. Classical appraisal practice relies on three broad approaches: sales comparison, income and cost. These approaches remain central because they correspond to observable market behavior: buyers compare substitutes, investors capitalize expected income, and market participants consider the cost of creating an equivalent asset. At the same time, the expansion of transaction databases, geographic information systems and computational capacity has stimulated hedonic models, spatial econometrics, AVMs and machine-learning techniques. Recent research increasingly focuses not only on predictive accuracy but also on interpretability, data governance and the defensibility of algorithmic outputs.

The relevance of this study is determined by the need to reconcile two requirements. First, valuation should reflect market evidence as accurately as possible. Second, the result must remain transparent, reproducible and professionally explainable. This tension is especially important for emerging markets, where transaction data may be fragmented and asking prices may be more accessible than verified transaction prices.

The purpose of the article is to systematize the historical evolution of theoretical approaches to real estate market value valuation, identify their methodological strengths and limitations, and formulate an integrated view of contemporary valuation trends. The research objectives are: (1) to examine the conceptual development of traditional valuation

ISSN: 3071-1851 Volume 01, Issue 09, October, 2026

approaches; (2) to analyze the contribution of hedonic and spatial models; (3) to assess the role of AVMs, machine learning and explainable AI; and (4) to propose a conceptual framework for integrating professional judgment with data-driven methods.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The theoretical foundations of modern real estate valuation are connected with general economic concepts of utility, substitution, scarcity, income capitalization and market equilibrium. The sales-comparison approach is grounded in the principle of substitution: a rational buyer is unlikely to pay more for a property than the price of a comparable substitute, after adjustment for relevant differences. The income approach interprets value through the present worth of future economic benefits and is particularly important for income-producing property. The cost approach links value to land value and the depreciated cost of improvements and is useful when comparable market evidence is limited or when the asset is specialized.

A major analytical development was the hedonic pricing framework. Rosen (1974) formalized the idea that differentiated goods can be represented as bundles of characteristics and that observed market prices reveal implicit prices for those characteristics. In real estate, this approach made it possible to estimate the contribution of floor area, building age, number of rooms, accessibility, environmental attributes and neighborhood characteristics to property prices. Later studies expanded the model through flexible functional forms, spatial variables and interaction effects.

The present research uses historical-logical analysis to trace the development of valuation concepts; comparative analysis to contrast traditional and data-driven approaches; synthesis to identify complementary elements; and a structured review of international standards and recent peer-reviewed literature. Particular attention is given to studies comparing hedonic regression with machine-learning models and to recent work on automated and explainable valuation. The analysis is conceptual rather than an empirical estimate for a single city, which allows the proposed framework to be applied as a basis for subsequent empirical PhD research.

1. EVOLUTION OF THEORETICAL APPROACHES TO MARKET VALUE VALUATION

The development of valuation methodology may be divided into several interrelated stages. The first stage is the professional

consolidation of the traditional approaches. In this stage, valuation is primarily a structured expert process based on market comparison, capitalization of income and reproduction or replacement cost. The appraiser selects relevant evidence, makes adjustments and reconciles indications of value. The principal strength of this paradigm is its direct economic interpretation and compatibility with professional reporting. Its limitation is sensitivity to the quantity and quality of comparable evidence and to the consistency of expert adjustments.

The second stage is the econometric formalization of market comparison. Hedonic models convert the intuitive adjustment process into a statistical relationship between price and a vector of characteristics. A general representation can be written as:

$$P_i = f(X_i, L_i, M_t, I_i) + \varepsilon_i,$$

where P_i is the observed price of property i; X_i represents physical and qualitative characteristics; L_i denotes locational and spatial attributes; M_t represents market and macroeconomic conditions at time t; I_i denotes institutional or legal characteristics; and ε_i is the unexplained component. In the conventional linear or semi-log specification, the estimated coefficients provide interpretable marginal or percentage effects, although the model may be constrained by assumptions concerning functional form, residual behavior and multicollinearity.

The third stage is associated with spatial econometrics and geographic information systems. Location is not simply another independent variable; it is a complex spatial structure. Properties located near one another may share unobserved neighborhood characteristics, while accessibility, schools, transport, environmental amenities and commercial centers create spatial gradients. Spatial-lag, spatial-error and geographically weighted models were developed to account for spatial dependence and heterogeneity. This stage significantly improved the treatment of location, one of the most important determinants of real estate value.

The fourth stage is the emergence of AVMs. AVMs use databases and statistical or algorithmic procedures to produce value estimates at scale. They may employ multiple regression, comparable-selection algorithms, decision trees, random forests, gradient boosting, neural networks or ensembles. Their economic attractiveness is linked to speed, consistency and scalability. However, an AVM is not a single method: it is a system combining data acquisition, cleaning, model specification, validation, monitoring and governance.

The fifth stage is characterized by machine learning and multimodal data. Contemporary models can capture nonlinear relationships, complex interactions and high-dimensional feature spaces. They can incorporate

structured property characteristics together with textual descriptions, images, geospatial variables and remotely sensed information. Research has reported predictive improvements from incorporating unstructured information, but the gains create new questions concerning transparency, reproducibility and the economic interpretation of model outputs.

The current stage can be described as a transition toward hybrid and explainable valuation. Rather than treating professional appraisal and algorithms as mutually exclusive alternatives, contemporary practice increasingly combines them. The algorithm can process large datasets and identify patterns, while the valuer evaluates data relevance, unusual property characteristics, legal conditions, market regime changes and the suitability of the result for the stated purpose.

2. COMPARATIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MAIN VALUATION PARADIGMS

Approach	Economic basis	Main data	Strength	Key limitation
Sales comparison	Substitution and market evidence	Comparable transactions/offers	Direct market orientation	Comparable selection and adjustments
Income approach	Present value of future benefits	Rent, vacancy, expenses, yields	Strong investment logic	Sensitive to forecasts and discount/capitalization rates
Cost approach	Replacement/reproduction and depreciation	Land and construction costs	Useful for specialized/new assets	Depreciation and land estimation can be difficult
Hedonic regression	Implicit prices of attributes	Transaction data and property characteristics	Interpretability and statistical testing	Functional-form and specification issues
Spatial econometrics	Spatial dependence and heterogeneity	Geocoded market and neighborhood data	Improved treatment of location	Model complexity and spatial-data requirements
Machine learning / AVM	Pattern recognition and prediction	Large structured/unstructured datasets	Nonlinearity, scale and	Explainability, governance and data bias

			predictive power	
Hybrid valuation	Integration of market theory, algorithms and judgment	Multiple data sources	Balances accuracy and professional control	Requires robust validation and governance

Source: compiled by the author on the basis of valuation theory and the reviewed literature.

The comparison demonstrates that contemporary valuation development should not be interpreted as a simple transition from 'old' to 'new' methods. Each paradigm answers a different methodological question. Traditional approaches provide economically intuitive anchors. Hedonic models explain how observable characteristics are capitalized into prices. Spatial models improve the treatment of location. Machine learning increases flexibility and predictive capacity. Hybrid systems seek to combine these advantages while preserving accountability.

Recent systematic evidence supports this complementary interpretation. Comparative research generally finds that machine-learning techniques can outperform conventional regression in prediction, while hedonic regression remains valuable because its coefficients and statistical structure are easier to interpret. A 2025 review of advanced housing-price models similarly concluded that ML models tend to provide stronger predictive performance, whereas hedonic models retain advantages in robustness and identification of influential housing attributes. This implies that model selection should depend on the purpose of valuation rather than on predictive error alone.

3. CONTEMPORARY TRENDS IN REAL ESTATE VALUATION

3.1. Data expansion and digitalization

The first major trend is the expansion of valuation data. Conventional databases typically include transaction price, area, age, floor, number of rooms and basic location. Contemporary systems increasingly integrate distances to transport and services, neighborhood indicators, cadastral and planning information, environmental measures, satellite data, photographs and textual descriptions. The resulting shift is from a relatively narrow comparable-property dataset toward a multidimensional digital representation of the asset and its environment.

This trend changes the role of data quality. A larger dataset does not automatically produce a more reliable valuation. Duplicate listings, outdated offers, unverified asking prices, missing characteristics and spatial miscoding can generate systematic error. Therefore, data

provenance, transaction verification, temporal alignment and outlier treatment should be regarded as components of valuation methodology rather than purely technical preprocessing.

3.2. Machine learning, AutoML and explainable AI

The second trend is the increasing application of machine learning. Tree-based ensembles, gradient boosting, support-vector methods and neural networks can model nonlinear effects and interactions without imposing a rigid functional form. Recent research on automated machine learning extends this logic by automating feature selection, model generation, comparison and deployment. Such systems may reduce the technical barrier to applying advanced models, but they also increase the importance of independent validation and professional oversight.

Explainability has consequently become a central research direction. A valuation model used in lending, taxation, litigation or public administration should not only generate an estimate but also provide an intelligible explanation of the main drivers. Techniques such as feature importance, partial dependence and SHAP-type explanations can help reveal how characteristics contribute to predictions. Nevertheless, post-hoc explanation does not eliminate the need to verify whether the underlying data and model structure are economically reasonable.

3.3. Spatial intelligence and property-specific context

The third trend is the more sophisticated treatment of location. The value effect of location is multidimensional and may be nonlinear. Distance to the city center can have a different meaning depending on transport accessibility, submarket structure and employment geography. Similarly, proximity to parks, schools or commercial services may create different premiums across market segments. GIS-based variables, spatial econometrics and machine learning can therefore be combined to represent micro-location more accurately.

A further development is the use of image and text information. Empirical studies show that textual descriptions and visual attributes can contain price-relevant information that is not fully captured by conventional structured variables. For example, renovation quality, view, interior condition and qualitative neighborhood descriptions may be partially extracted from unstructured data. The methodological challenge is to ensure that these signals represent genuine market characteristics rather than marketing language or platform-specific bias.

3.4. Standards, prudential concepts and governance

The fourth trend concerns standards and governance. International valuation practice is increasingly affected by the interaction between professional standards, financial regulation and digital valuation tools. The International Valuation Standards Council has continued to address real-

estate valuation developments, including prudential valuation concepts. RICS guidance also highlights the responsible use of AI and the growing role of AVMs. These developments show that methodological innovation is accompanied by stronger expectations concerning independence, qualifications, documentation, validation and transparency.

For research and practice, this means that accuracy metrics such as RMSE, MAE or MAPE cannot be the only criteria for choosing a model. A robust valuation framework should also consider stability over time, sensitivity to market regime changes, coverage of the relevant property segment, explainability, data lineage and the ability to identify cases requiring manual review.

4. AN INTEGRATED CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR MODERN MARKET VALUE VALUATION

On the basis of the reviewed theoretical evolution, the article proposes an integrated framework in which market value is formed through five interacting blocks: (1) physical and functional characteristics of the property; (2) locational and spatial characteristics; (3) market and macroeconomic conditions; (4) legal and institutional characteristics; and (5) temporal and behavioral factors. These blocks should be linked to a model-selection layer and a professional-validation layer.

The methodological sequence can be represented as follows:

Data verification → Market segmentation → Factor system → Model selection → Statistical/algorithmic estimation → Explainability diagnostics → Professional reconciliation → Final value conclusion

The proposed framework differs from a purely algorithmic approach because it treats the valuation purpose and data environment as determinants of model choice. In an active homogeneous residential market with many verified transactions, an AVM or machine-learning model may provide a strong primary estimate. For a unique commercial property with limited comparable evidence, an income-based analysis and professional judgment may remain dominant. Where both structured data and sufficient comparable transactions exist, a hybrid approach can provide cross-validation between traditional and algorithmic indications.

For emerging real estate markets, the framework has additional relevance. The first priority should be the formation of reliable transaction databases and standardized property attributes. The second is the creation of geocoded datasets that connect transactions with cadastral, infrastructure and neighborhood information. The third is model validation across submarkets and time periods. The fourth is the establishment of explainability and human-review procedures for observations outside the model's reliable range.

ISSN: 3071-1851 Volume 01, Issue 09, October, 2026

From a PhD research perspective, the framework creates a basis for empirical methodological improvement. A subsequent study may construct a hierarchical factor system, estimate a benchmark hedonic model, compare it with spatial and machine-learning alternatives, and then develop a hybrid model in which predictive accuracy is combined with interpretable adjustment coefficients. Such an approach would allow the scientific contribution to move beyond descriptive comparison toward a reproducible valuation methodology.

DISCUSSION

The evolution of real estate valuation reveals a consistent pattern: methodological progress occurs when economic theory is combined with better evidence and stronger analytical tools. Traditional valuation methods emerged from observable market behavior and remain necessary for professional reasoning. Hedonic models formalized the contribution of individual characteristics. Spatial econometrics addressed geographic dependence. AVMs and machine learning expanded the scale and flexibility of estimation. Explainable AI and governance mechanisms now seek to make advanced models suitable for accountable professional use.

A central methodological trade-off remains the balance between prediction and explanation. A model with the lowest out-of-sample error may not necessarily be the most appropriate model for every valuation purpose. Lending, taxation and legal disputes can require a high degree of traceability. Conversely, portfolio monitoring or preliminary market screening may place greater emphasis on speed and scale. The appropriate methodological criterion is therefore multi-dimensional: accuracy, interpretability, stability, data quality, cost, scalability and compliance should be considered jointly.

Another important issue is market change. Models trained on historical observations can become less reliable after structural shifts in interest rates, credit conditions, migration, infrastructure or regulation. Continuous monitoring and periodic recalibration are therefore necessary. This is particularly important for machine-learning systems, where high in-sample flexibility may conceal deterioration when the underlying market regime changes.

The study is limited by its conceptual character and does not estimate a model on a specific national dataset. This limitation is deliberate because the objective is to build the theoretical basis for subsequent empirical research. Future work should test the proposed framework using verified transaction data, spatial variables and alternative algorithms, with separate validation samples and explicit comparison of predictive accuracy and interpretability.

ISSN: 3071-1851 Volume 01, Issue 09, October, 2026

CONCLUSION

The study shows that theoretical approaches to real estate market value valuation have evolved from expert-centered traditional methods toward an integrated ecosystem of econometrics, spatial analysis, automated valuation and artificial intelligence. This development does not make the classical sales-comparison, income and cost approaches obsolete. Instead, contemporary methods extend their analytical capacity by processing larger datasets, modeling nonlinear relationships and incorporating spatial and unstructured information.

The principal contemporary trend is the formation of hybrid valuation systems. Their methodological advantage lies in combining the economic logic and professional defensibility of traditional appraisal with the scale and predictive flexibility of data-driven models. The most promising direction is therefore not complete automation, but controlled integration: verified data, appropriate market segmentation, transparent modeling, explainability diagnostics, independent validation and professional reconciliation.

The scientific contribution of the article is the systematization of valuation evolution into interconnected methodological stages and the formulation of an integrated conceptual framework based on five groups of value-forming factors and two control layers—model selection and professional validation. This framework can serve as a theoretical basis for developing an improved methodology for estimating the market value of real estate objects in subsequent empirical research.

REFERENCES

1. Rosen, S. (1974). Hedonic Prices and Implicit Markets: Product Differentiation in Pure Competition. Journal of Political Economy, 82(1), 34–55.
2. International Valuation Standards Council (IVSC). International Valuation Standards and materials on real estate and prudential valuation. London: IVSC.
3. Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS). Valuation Standards; guidance on Automated Valuation Models and AI in real estate valuation. London: RICS.
4. Mirdjalilova D. S., Karimov I. O. Some aspects of collateral lending in the republic of uzbekistan //Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal. – 2021. – Т. 9. – №. 11. – С. 732-735.
5. Ortikbaevich, Karimov Inomjon. "Attracting investment projects to the construction industry and improving their efficiency." *SAARJ Journal on Banking & Insurance Research* 10.1 (2021): 47-53.

6. D'Amato, M. (2020). Towards a taxonomy for real estate and land automated valuation systems. Journal of Property Investment & Finance, 39(5), 450–463. https://doi.org/10.1108/JPIF-07-2020-0087
7. D'Amato, M. (2020). Who performs better? AVMs vs hedonic models. Journal of Property Investment & Finance, 38(3), 213–225. https://doi.org/10.1108/JPIF-12-2019-0157
8. Potrawa, T., & Tetereva, A. (2022). How much is the view from the window worth? Machine learning-driven hedonic pricing model of the real estate market. Journal of Business Research, 144, 50–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.01.027
9. Baur, K., Rosenfelder, M., & Lutz, B. (2023). Automated real estate valuation with machine learning models using property descriptions. Expert Systems with Applications, 213, 119147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.119147
10. Jafary, P., Shojaei, D., Rajabifard, A., & Ngo, T. (2024). Automated land valuation models: A comparative study of four machine learning and deep learning methods based on a comprehensive range of influential factors. Cities, 151, 105115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2024.105115
11. Real estate valuation using machine learning. (2024). Procedia Computer Science, 246, 4592–4599. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2024.09.323
12. Comparative Analysis of Advanced Models for Predicting Housing Prices: A Review. (2025). Urban Science, 9(2), 32. https://doi.org/10.3390/urbansci9020032
13. 11.
14. Karimovich G. I. Directions of introduction of "benchmarking" system in evaluation of efficiency of management accounting system in the enterprise //ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal. – 2019. – T. 9. – №. 11. – C. 24-31. Automated machine learning for residential property valuation. (2025). Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 160(C), 112035. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2025.112035
15. Reflections on hedonic price modeling. (2025). Journal of European Real Estate Research, 18(2), 199–213. https://doi.org/10.1108/JERER-11-2024-0087
16. A literature review of artificial intelligence applications in real estate: state-of-the-art and future research directions. (2026). Technology in Society, 88, 103494. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2026.103494